### Public Law 110–114 110th Congress

#### An Act

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

Nov. 8, 2007 [H.R. 1495]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE: TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Water Resources Development Act of 2007".
- (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Water Resources Development Act of 2007. Intergovernmental relations 33 USC 2201 note.

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents. Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

#### TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

Sec. 1001. Project authorizations.
Sec. 1002. Small projects for flood damage reduction.
Sec. 1003. Small projects for emergency streambank protection. Small projects for navigation.

Small projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.

Small projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration. Sec. 1004. Sec. 1005. Sec. 1006. Sec. 1007. Small projects for shoreline protection. Sec. 1008. Small projects for snagging and sediment removal.
Sec. 1009. Small projects to prevent or mitigate damage caused by navigation projects.
Sec. 1010. Small projects for aquatic plant control. TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS Sec. 2001. Non-Federal contributions. Sec. 2002. Sec. 2002. Funding to process permits. Sec. 2003. Written agreement for water resources projects. Sec. 2004. Compilation of laws. Sec. 2005. Dredged material disposal. Sec. 2006. Remote and subsistence harbors.

Sec. 2007. Use of other Federal funds. Sec. 2008. Sec. 2009. Sec. 2010. Watershed and river basin assessments.

Revision of project partnership agreement; cost sharing. Expedited actions for emergency flood damage reduction.

Sec. 2011. Tribal partnership program.

Sec. 2012. Sec. 2013. Wildfire firefighting. Technical assistance.

Sec. 2014. Lakes program.

Sec. 2015. Cooperative agreements.

Sec. 2016. Training funds.

Sec. 2017. Sec. 2018. Access to water resource data. Shore protection projects.

Sec. 2019. Ability to pay.

Sec. 2020. Sec. 2021. Aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.

Small flood damage reduction projects.

Sec. 2022. Small river and harbor improvement projects.

Sec. 2023. Protection of highways, bridge approaches, public works, and nonprofit public services.

Sec. 6002. Pilot projects. Sec. 6003. Maximum costs. Sec. 6004. Credit. Sec. 6005. Outreach and assistance. Sec. 6006. Critical restoration projects. Sec. 6007. Regional engineering model for environmental restoration. TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA Sec. 7001. Definitions. Sec. 7002. Comprehensive plan. Sec. 7003. Louisiana coastal area. Sec. 7004. Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection and Restoration Task Force. Sec. 7005. Project modifications. Sec. 7006. Construction. Sec. 7007. Non-Federal cost share. Sec. 7008. Project justification. Sec. 7009. Independent review. Sec. 7010. Expedited reports. Sec. 7011. Reporting. Sec. 7012. New Orleans and vicinity. Sec. 7013. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet. Sec. 7014. Hurricane and storm damage reduction. Sec. 7015. Larose to Golden Meadow. Sec. 7016. Lower Jefferson Parish, Louisiana. TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATER-WAY SYSTEM Sec. 8001. Definitions. Sec. 8002. Navigation improvements and restoration. Sec. 8003. Authorization of construction of navigation improvements. Sec. 8004. Ecosystem restoration authorization. Sec. 8005. Comparable progress. TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM Sec. 9001. Short title. Sec. 9002. Definitions. Sec. 9003. Committee on Levee Safety. Sec. 9004. Inventory and inspection of levees. Sec. 9005. Limitations on statutory construction.

## Sec. 9006. Authorization of appropriations. **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

 $33~\mathrm{USC}~2201$ 

note.

In this Act, the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Army.

# TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

Studies.

#### SEC. 1001. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in this section, the following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the respective reports designated in this section:

- (1) Haines, Alaska.—The project for navigation, Haines, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of \$14,040,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,232,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,808,000.
- (2) PORT LIONS, ALASKA.—The project for navigation, Port Lions, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 14, 2006, at a total cost of \$9,530,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$7,624,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$1,906,000.

- (3) SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS, ARIZONA.—The project for environmental restoration, Santa Cruz River, Pima County, Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March 28, 2006, at a total cost of \$97,700,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$63,300,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$34,400,000.
- (4) TANQUE VERDE CREEK, PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—The project for environmental restoration, Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July 22, 2003, at a total cost of \$5,906,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$3,836,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,070,000.
- (5) SALT RIVER (RIO SALADO OESTE), MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—The project for environmental restoration, Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$166,650,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$106,629,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$60,021,000.
- (6) SALT RIVER (VA SHLY'AY AKIMEL), MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—
  - (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for environmental restoration, Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated January 3, 2005, at a total cost of \$162,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$105,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$56,900,000.
  - (B) COORDINATION WITH FEDERAL RECLAMATION PROJECTS.—The Secretary, to the maximum extent practicable, shall coordinate the design and construction of the project described in subparagraph (A) with the Bureau of Reclamation and any operating agent for any Federal reclamation project in the Salt River Basin to avoid impacts to existing Federal reclamation facilities and operations in the Salt River Basin.
- (7) MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS.—The project for flood damage reduction, May Branch, Fort Smith, Arkansas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$30,850,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$15,010,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$15,840,000.
- (8) Hamilton City, Glenn County, California.—The project for flood damage reduction and environmental restoration, Hamilton City, Glenn County, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost of \$52,400,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$34,100,000 and estimated non-Federal cost of \$18,300,000.
- (9) SILVER STRAND SHORELINE, IMPERIAL BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—The project for storm damage reduction, Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$13,700,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$8,521,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,179,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$42,500,000 for periodic beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$21,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$21,250,000.